Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/553,765	ELMER, HUBERT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO.	(3)	
(2) Alfred Froebrich, Applicant's Representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 July 2007	Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 26 and 33		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writt ate record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
Katherine Mitchell		
Primary Examiner		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/553,765

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Froebrich because claim 26 is dependent upon claim 34 and claim 34 is not provided among the claims in the last amendment. Upon review, Mr. Froebrich indicated that claim 26 should be depended upon claim 33 and that claim 33 should be dependent upon claim 25 instead of being dependent upon claim 20. Both parties agreed to make these changes through an Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition of allowance.